

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES)
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
eSPEED, INC., eSPEED, INTERNATIONAL,)
LTD., and ECCO WARE, LTD.,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 04 C 5312

ORDER

This matter having been tried before a jury in September and October 2007, and the jury having rendered a verdict finding, among other things, (1) asserted claims 1, 2, 7, 14, 15, 20, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 40, 45, 47, 48, 50 and 52 of United States Patent No. 6,772, 132 (“the ‘132 patent”), valid and infringed by defendants eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed International, Inc., Ecco LLC and Ecco Ware LLC (collectively referred to as “Espeed and Ecco”), and (2) asserted claims, 1, 11, 14, 15 and 26 of United States Patent No. 6,766,304 (“the ‘304 patent”), valid and infringed by eSpeed and Ecco; and the Court finding that plaintiff Trading Technologies International, Inc. (“TT”) will suffer irreparable harm if a permanent injunction is not entered, that no adequate remedy at law exists, that the balance of the relevant hardships are in TT’s favor, and that the public interest will not be disserved by a permanent injunction,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed International, Inc., Ecco LLC and Ecco Ware LLC, their officers, directors, members, agents, servants, employees, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, successors, assigns and attorneys, and any and all persons acting in concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained:

(1) from making, using, importing, selling, offering for sale or otherwise distributing in the

United States any of the accused products (including eSpeed Futures view (including versions 5.3.1 through 5.3.6.11), Ecco Price Ladder (including versions 2.3.6.12 through 4.0.2.19), and Espeed AutoSpeed Basis (including versions 1.0 and 1.2)) that the jury found to infringe the asserted claims, and any product that is not colorably different from any of the accused products, and

(2) from infringing under 35 U.S.C. §271 claims 1, 2, 7, 14, 15, 20, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 40, 45, 47, 48, 50 and 52 of the '132 patent and claims 1, 11, 14, 15 and 26 of the '304 patent by the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, importation, or other distribution into the United States of the accused products (including eSpeed Futures view (including versions 5.3.1 through 5.3.6.11), Ecco Price Ladder (including versions 2.3.6.12 through 4.0.2.19, and Espeed AutoSpeed Basis (including versions 1.0 and 1.2)) and any subsequent modifications of those products that is not colorably different therefrom, regardless of trade name under which those products are sold.

This injunction shall remain in effect until the expiration of the '132 and '304 patents.

The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order upon all parties of record in this matter.



JAMES B. MORAN
Senior Judge, U. S. District Court

May 22, 2008.