

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Ronald A. Guzman	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	07 C 4271	DATE	11/27/2007
CASE TITLE	Palantir.net, Inc. vs. Palantir Technologies, Inc.		

DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

For the reasons provided in this Minute Order, the Court grants defendant Palantir Technologies' motion to transfer this case to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 [doc. no. 11-2]. The Clerk of the Court is hereby ordered to transfer this case to that court.

■ [For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

STATEMENT

In relevant part, 28 U.S.C. § 1404 provides: “[f]or the convenience of parties and witnesses, and in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Under this section, transfer of venue is appropriate when: “(1) venue is proper in the transferor district; (2) venue and jurisdiction are proper in the transferee district; and (3) the transfer will serve the convenience of the parties and the witnesses and will promote the interest of justice.” *Amoco Oil Co. v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, 90 F. Supp. 2d 958, 959 (N.D. Ill. 2000). The moving party has the burden of showing that “the transferee forum is clearly more convenient.” *Coffey v. Van Dorn Iron Works*, 796 F.2d 217, 219-20 (7th Cir. 1986).

It is undisputed that jurisdiction and venue are proper both here and in the Northern District of California. Defendant Palantir Technologies, Inc. has its principal place of business in Palo Alto, California and has a pending lawsuit against Palantir.net, Inc. that was filed in that district prior to the filing of the lawsuit here. The parties in the instant case agree, and this Court finds, that the convenience of the parties and witnesses and the interest of justice would be better served by litigating Palantir.net, Inc.'s claims in the Northern District of California. Accordingly, the Court grants defendant's motion to transfer venue.